

# Generalization of Deep Learning

Yuan YAO HKUST

### Some Theories are limited but help:

- Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis : What functions are represented well by deep neural networks, without suffering the curse of dimensionality and better than shallow networks?
  - Sparse (local), hierarchical (multiscale), compositional functions avoid the curse dimensionality
  - Group (translation, rotational, scaling, deformation) invariances achieved as depth grows
- Generalization: How can deep learning generalize well without overfitting the noise?
  - Double descent curve with overparametrized models
  - Implicit regularization of SGD: Max-Margin classifier
  - "Benign overfitting"?
- Optimization: What is the landscape of the empirical risk and how to optimize it efficiently?
  - Wide networks may have simple landscape for GD/SGD algorithms ...

### Empirical Risk vs. Population Risk

 Consider the empirical risk minimization under i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) samples

$$\hat{R}_n(\theta) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_n \ell(y, f(x; \theta)) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f(x_i; \theta)) + \mathcal{R}_n(\theta)$$

The population risk with respect to unknown distribution

$$R(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim P}\ell(y, f(x;\theta))$$

### **Optimization vs. Generalization**

 Fundamental Theorem of Machine Learning (for 0-1 misclassification loss, called 'errors' below)



e.g. Rademacher complexity

- How to make training loss/error small? Optimization issue
- How to make generalization gap small? Model Complexity issue

### **Uniform Convergence: Another View**

► For  $\theta^* \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta)$  and  $\widehat{\theta}_n \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{R}_n(\theta)$ ,

$$\underbrace{\underline{R(\hat{\theta}_n) - R(\theta^*)}_{\text{excess risk}} = \underbrace{\underline{R(\hat{\theta}_n) - \hat{R}_n(\hat{\theta}_n)}_{\text{A}} + \dots}_{A} + \underbrace{(\hat{R}_n(\hat{\theta}_n) - \hat{R}_n(\theta^*))}_{\leq 0} + \dots}_{\leq 0} + \underbrace{(\hat{R}_n(\theta^*) - R(\theta^*))}_{\text{B}}$$

► To make both A and B small,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |R(\theta) - \hat{R}_n(\theta)| \le Complexity(\Theta)$$

e.g. Rademacher complexity

### Example: regression and square loss

- Given an estimate  $\hat{f}$  and a set of predictors X, we can predict Y using  $\hat{Y} = \hat{f}(X)$ ,
  - Assume for a moment that both  $\hat{f}$  and X are fixed. In regression setting,  $\mathbb{E}(Y - \hat{Y})^2 = \mathbb{E}[f(X) + \epsilon - \hat{f}(X)]^2$   $= \underbrace{[f(X) - \hat{f}(X)]^2}_{\text{Reducible}} + \underbrace{Var(\epsilon)}_{\text{Irreducible}}, \qquad (2)$

where  $\mathbb{E}(Y - \hat{Y})^2$  represents the expected squared error between the predicted and actual value of Y, and  $Var(\epsilon)$  represents the variance associated with the error term  $\epsilon$ . An optimal estimate is to minimize the reducible error.

### **Bias-Variance Decomposition**

- Let f(X) be the true function which we aim at estimating from a training data set D.
- Let f(X; D) be the estimated function from the training data set D.
- Take the expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[f(X) - \hat{f}(X;\mathcal{D})\right]^{2} = \underbrace{\left[f(X) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}(X;\mathcal{D}))\right]^{2}}_{Bias^{2}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{f}(X;\mathcal{D})) - \hat{f}(X;\mathcal{D})\right]^{2}\right]}_{Variance}$$

### **Bias-Variance Tradeoff**





#### The Elements of Statistical Learning Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction

Second Edition

🖉 Springer

## Why big models in NN generalize well?

TIFARIO



What happens when I turn off the regularizers?

<u>Model</u>	parameters	<u>p/n</u>	Irain <u>Ioss</u>	lest <u>error</u>
CudaConvNet	145,578	2.9	0	23%
CudaConvNet (with regularization)	145,578	2.9	0.34	18%
MicroInception	1,649,402	33	0	14%
ResNet	2,401,440	48	0	13%

Chiyuan Zhang et al. 2016



### Increasing # parameters



Figure: Experiments on MNIST. Left: [Belkin, Hsu, Ma, Mandal, 2018]. Right: [Spigler, Geiger, Ascoli, Sagun, Biroli, Wyart, 2018].

Similar phenomenon appeared in the literature [LeCun, Kanter, and Solla, 1991], [Krogh and Hertz, 1992], [Opper and Kinzel, 1995], [Neyshabur, Tomioka, Srebro, 2014], [Advani and Saxe, 2017]. "Double Descent"



Figure: A cartoon by [Belkin, Hsu, Ma, Mandal, 2018].

 $\checkmark$  Peak at the interpolation threshold.

- ✓ Monotone decreasing in the overparameterized regime.
- $\checkmark$  Global minimum when the number of parameters is infinity.

## Complementary rather than Contradiction

### U-shaped curve

Test error vs model complexity that tightly controls generalization.

Examples:  $\ell_2$  norm in linear model, "k" in k nearest-neighbors.

### Double-descent

Test error vs number of parameters.

Examples: # parameters in NN.

In NN, # parameters  $\neq$  model complexity that tightly controls generalization.

[Bartlett, 1997], [Bartlett and Mendelson, 2002]

### Let's go to two talks

- Prof. Misha Belkin (OSU/UCSD)
  - From Classical Statistics to Modern Machine Learning at Simons Institute at Berkeley
  - How interpolation models do not overfit...
- Prof. Song Mei (UC Berkeley)
  - Generalization of linearized neural networks: staircase decay and double descent, at HKUST
  - How simple linearized single-hidden-layer models help understand...

# Thank you!

